

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/446,232	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BIGGS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carlos Lopez	<b>Art Unit</b> 1731	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** After Final(1) Carlos Lopez.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steve Witters.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

34 and title of invention

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend claim 34 line 1, to delete the term "article" and insert - - material- - since claim 34 is referring to the smoking material of claim 32. Also it was noted to applicant that the title will be amended to read as "Smoking Material".*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)